

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/882,627	CIESAR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Mitra Aryanpour	3711	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

 (1) Mitra Aryanpour.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Charles Laff.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 February 2005
**Time:** 5:40 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

39

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner recommended adding the word "hollow" before shaft so the record would be clear that the plurality of rods are secured within the hollow shaft of the swing trainer.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)